

SECRET

29 January 1980

MEMORANDUM FOR THE RECORD

SUBJECT: Conversation with Secretary and Deputy Secretary
of Defense, 28 January 1980 ☐

25X1

25X1

1. Only the Deputy Secretary was present for the entire meeting; Secretary Brown came in late. ☐

2. The Secretary raised the issue of the possibility of Soviet use of chemical warfare in Afghanistan. He was inclined to play this in low key at this time since our evidence was rather thin. He indicated they had deliberately leaked the possibility of its use. I suggested that now is the time to use his preemptive release doctrine and try to forestall actual use by the Soviets. I suggested that he or the Deputy Secretary respond on television to a question about whether the Soviets have used chemical warfare. They could say that we were not sure that they had but knew they were equipped for it, trained for it, and ready for it. If this would stir up some reaction here it might be a small deterrent. ☐

25X1

25X1

done
I promised to send Deputy Secretary Claytor a copy of the memo I read the other day summarizing our views on this subject and concluding that we had only two reports from a source that had been unreliable in the past. ☐

3. The Deputy Secretary expressed appreciation of our support for their program on preemptive releases. I emphasized that we were all for it as long as intelligence equities were well considered.

I note in the last paragraph of the Brown terms of reference for the new group it says that release will only be made after comments are received from the head of the intelligence agency who produced the report. That's fine, but I think we ought to add to that "and the DCI" since the DCI is responsible for all sources and methods. ☐

25X1

25X1

25X1

Copy 7 of 9

25X1

~~SECRET~~

4. The Deputy Secretary indicated that they didn't think the SCC subcommittee under Schecter for publicizing what the Soviets were doing in Afghanistan or the problems of the hostages in Iran, etc., was active enough. I said I fully agreed and would work with them to prod it more. []

25X1

5. Secretary Brown raised a concern with NIE 11-3/8. We show a range of possible outcomes in number of Soviet warheads if the Soviets adhere to the SALT limitations (the one RV versus ten RV issue). We do not show any range for the no-SALT cases. The Secretary is concerned that this gives an impression that we are more confident of the no-SALT numbers than we are of the SALT numbers. Of course that is not the case in fact. We should look either at putting a shaded area on those curves or some words in the text to emphasize that there are considerable uncertainties here, but that the range of uncertainties cannot be as easily defined as that under SALT. []

25X1

25X1

6. I discussed the [] issue with Secretary Brown who had the same inclinations as myself and []

25X1

7. We discussed the intelligence initiative legislation in considerable detail.

I failed to ask him if there were any special things we ought to try to get through for DIA at this time. I'd like a tickler to raise that again with General Tighe and with Admiral Inman. I wonder if this might be a moment to ask if they couldn't have the same exceptions from Civil Service hiring rules that we do. []

25X1

8. I discussed the [] The Secretary wasn't quite aware that there was a problem with the security. []

25X1

25X1

9. I gave him the articles by Jim Stockdale. []

25X1

10. The solid propellant issue didn't come up. []

[]
STANSFIELD TURNER
Director

25X1

25X1

[]

[]

25X1

~~SECRET~~

Next 1 Page(s) In Document Exempt

25X1

EXECUTIVE SECRETARIAT (O/DCI)

Routing Slip

TO:		ACTION	INFO	DATE	INITIAL
1	DCI		X		
2	DDCI		X		
3	DD/RM				
4	DD/NFA	2	X		
5	DD/CT		6,8		
6	DD/A				
7	DD/O		X		
8	DD/S&T		6		
9	GC				
10	LC	7			
11	IG				
12	Compt				
13	D/PA	4			
14	D/EE0				
15	D/Pers				
16	AO/DCI				
17	C/IPS				
18			2,3		
19	NIO/SP	5			
20	PB/NSC	X			
21	ES		X		
22					

SUSPENSE DATE:

Remarks:

Paragraphs extracted for action or info as indicated above.